



P. T. INDOCEMENT TUNGGAL PRAKASRA, TBK

Install Variable Speed Drives (VSD) on 12 fans to reduce electricity use by motors

SUMMARY OF THE OPTION

PT Indocement is one of the largest cement producers in Indonesia, established in 1985 and currently operates 12 plants, nine of which are located in Citeureup, Bogor, West Java; two in Palimanan, Cirebon, West Java; and one in Tarjun, Kotabaru, South Kalimantan.

There are over 90 fans used throughout Plant #6 for a variety of tasks in the cement making process. Many of the fans used on site are controlled and monitored from the Central Control Room (CCR). Whilst looking at the controls for some of these fans the Team observed that many of them have Inlet Guide Vane (IGV) or damper controls that were closed to some extent and are not operating at their best efficiency. The fans in Plant #6 have the best potential for energy savings.

The option implemented was optimization of fan use is by installing Variable Speed Drives (VSD) to control fans with fluctuation load. The original investment for this project is Rp 1,250,000,000 or approximately US\$136,000 (1US \$ = Rp 9,200). The feasibility study shows that the electricity saving can achieve 5.530 MWh per year, annual cash inflow per year to be Rp , or approximately US\$ 266,463 with a payback period of five months, GHG emission reductions are at least 3,336 tCO₂/yr.

The installation of six inverters was completed in March 2005 in in grate 2 and 3, while the other six inverters in grate 1 were installed by December 2005. VSD panel will be placed in MCC location.

KEY WORDS

Indonesia, Cement, Fans & Blowers, Variable Speed Drive (VSD)

OBSERVATIONS

There are over 90 fans used throughout Plant #6 for a variety of tasks in the cement making process. The sizes of the fans varies considerably from <5 kW up to >400kW applications. Optimization of fans performance represents a good opportunity to reduce the specific power consumption of the plant as many of the fans observed run at constant speed with damper or inlet guide vane (IGV) flow regulation. Many of the fans used on site are controlled and monitored from the Central Control Room (CCR). Whilst looking at the controls for some of these fans the Team observed that many of them have inlet guide vane (IGV) or damper controls that were closed to some extent and are not operating at their best efficiency. The fan load varies in each of these applications hence the need for adjustable IGV's or dampers. In each case the controls for the IGV's or dampers are tied to a process parameter that allows the fan load to be adjusted to meet the process requirements. In the following table, a list with the best potential for energy savings was compiled along with some available field-data from all the fans in Plant #6:

Table 1: Best potential for energy savings from the Plant # 6

| Equipment No. | % Open of IGV / Damper | Motor Size (kW) | Actual Power Consumed (kW) | Actual Flow Rate (m ³ /min) |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| 422-FN1 | 71 | 375 | 227 | - |
| 423-FN1 | 70 | 375 | 222 | - |
| 426-FN1 | 68 | 470 | 350 | - |
| 426-FN2 | 60 | 470 | 369 | - |
| 451-FN1 | 53 | 2000 | - | - |
| 452-FN1 | 47 | 2000 | - | - |
| 471-FN4 | 60 | 110 | 58 | 41,312 |
| 471-FN5 | 55 | 110 | 58 | 39,262 |
| 471-FN6 | 55 | 110 | 50 | 41,452 |
| 471-FN7 | 70 | 110 | 50 | 44,125 |
| 471-FN8 | 57 | 110 | - | 32,748 |
| 471-FN9 | 40 | 55 | - | 27,697 |
| 471-FN10 | 45 | 45 | - | 24,835 |
| 471-FND | 52 | - | - | 688 |
| 471-FNE | 52 | - | - | 380 |
| 471-FNF | 30 | - | - | 200 |
| 471-FNG | 52 | - | - | 455 |
| 471-FNH | 44 | - | - | 446 |
| 471-FNI | 24 | - | - | 171 |
| 471-FNJ | 46 | - | - | 320 |
| 471-FNK | 36 | - | - | 300 |
| 521-FN1 | 60 | - | 75 | - |
| 522-FN1 | 65 | - | 75 | - |

OPTIONS

The options from the above observations are as follows:

- The volumetric flow rate from a fan is directly proportional to the motor speed, hence, the most efficient method to control the fan output is by controlling the speed of the fan.
- Additionally, power consumed by the fan motor is proportional to the motor speed cubed i.e. a small reduction in motor speed results in a large reduction in power.
- It is estimated that power savings of up to 59% can be achieved by moving from IGV to variable speed control and up to 69% by moving from damper to variable speed control.
- It is therefore recommended that where investigations deem it to be feasible, the fans have variable speed drives (VSD's) installed on their motors to control fan speed. This will allow the inlet guide fans or dampers to be left 100% open and regulate the motor speed to match load requirements and therefore achieve significant power savings. This should only be applied where there are large changes in the fan load i.e. fans where the IGV or damper setting changes continuously or regularly. If the IGV setting is normally constant under all operating conditions then other options should be explored including changing pulley ratio or using multi-speed motors.
- On fans for which it is feasible to install a VSD, additional benefits may be gained by directly coupling the motor to the fan. Many of the fans are currently belt driven which results in 2-3% power losses through belt slippage.



RESULTS

Below are the results of inverter test on cooling fan 1R 75 kW:

Table 2: Data of Inverter Test

Trade mark of "Hitachi"

The comparison before and after using inverter in cooling fan
(Cooling Fan 1R 75 KW)

| No. | Before | | | | | After | | | | Difference | |
|-----|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| | Damper (%) | Flow (m ³ /m) | Current (A) | Cos j | Daya (KW) | Current (A) | Cos j | Frequency (Hz) | Daya (KW) | Daya (KW) | % |
| 1 | 0 | 40 | 62 | 0,53 | 22,74 | 3 | 0,18 | 8,00 | 0,37 | 22,37 | 98,4 |
| 2 | 20 | 164 | 78 | 0,71 | 38,32 | 13 | 0,20 | 25,61 | 1,80 | 36,52 | 95,3 |
| 3 | 40 | 265 | 100 | 0,81 | 56,05 | 63 | 0,63 | 43,80 | 27,47 | 28,59 | 51,0 |
| 4 | 60 | 295 | 111 | 0,83 | 63,75 | 81 | 0,76 | 47,84 | 42,60 | 21,15 | 33,2 |
| 5 | 80 | 300 | 116 | 0,84 | 67,43 | 86 | 0,80 | 48,94 | 47,61 | 19,82 | 29,4 |
| 6 | 100 | 300 | 119 | 0,84 | 69,17 | 97 | 0,80 | 50,00 | 53,70 | 15,47 | 22,4 |

Test was done in 19/05/2003
P6 Electrical party and Hitachi

The GP Team did not come across technical difficulties with installing VSD's on the fans.

Table 3. Energy Saving Vs Opening Damper Interpolation (Data Result)

| Open Damper (%) | Energy saving (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 98,400 |
| 5 | 97,625 |
| 10 | 96,850 |
| 15 | 96,075 |
| 20 | 95,300 |
| 25 | 84,225 |
| 30 | 73,150 |
| 35 | 62,075 |
| 40 | 51,000 |
| 45 | 46,550 |
| 50 | 42,100 |
| 55 | 37,650 |
| 60 | 33,200 |
| 65 | 32,250 |
| 70 | 31,300 |
| 75 | 30,350 |
| 80 | 29,400 |
| 85 | 27,650 |
| 90 | 25,900 |
| 95 | 24,150 |
| 100 | 22,400 |

| Equipment Number | Opening Damper Real (%) | Energy saving (%) | Energy use after make VSD's |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 471FN8MO1 | 68 | 31,680 | 0,683 |
| 471FN9MO1 | 25 | 84,225 | 0,158 |
| 471FNFMO1 | 26 | 82,010 | 0,180 |
| 471FNIMO1 | 20 | 95,300 | 0,047 |
| 471FN4MO1 | 65 | 32,250 | 0,678 |
| 471FN5MO1 | 65 | 32,250 | 0,678 |
| 471FN6MO1 | 65 | 32,250 | 0,678 |
| 471FN7MO1 | 75 | 30,350 | 0,697 |
| 471FNEMO1 | 33 | 66,505 | 0,335 |
| 471FNHMO1 | 41 | 50,110 | 0,499 |
| 471FNKMO1 | 43 | 48,330 | 0,517 |
| 471FNGMO1 | 37 | 57,645 | 0,424 |
| 471FNJMO1 | 38 | 55,430 | 0,446 |



Table 4: Saving Energy Calculation for Cooling Fan at Grate Cooler Plant-6

Assumption:

1. (%) saving energy : based on table 3b
2. Power Factor: 0.8 (PF varied with load, range 0.3~0.95)
3. Voltage: 400 V
4. Running hour: 300 days in 1 year
5. Energy rate: IDR 532 / KWH

| NO | EQP.NO | RATING | | OPERATING | | | % DAMPER OPENING | WITH INVERTER | | POWER SAVING (KW) | ENERGY SAVING | | | SAVING MONEY Yearly (IDR) |
|----|-----------|--------------|----------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | | POWER (kW) | Current (Amp.) | Current | | POWER (kW) | | POWER (kW) | Current (Amp.) | | Daily | Monthly | Yearly | |
| | | | | (Amp.) | % Oper. | | | | | | | | | |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O |
| 1 | 471FN8MO1 | 55 | 98 | 54 | 55,102 | 29,8944 | 68 | 36,89 | 20,42 | 9,47 | 227,29 | 6.818,79 | 81.826 | 43.531.174,91 |
| 2 | 471FN9MO1 | 55 | 98 | 48 | 48,980 | 26,5728 | 25 | 7,73 | 4,28 | 22,30 | 535,09 | 16.052,68 | 192.632 | 102.480.326,42 |
| 3 | 471FNFMO1 | 75 | 140 | 132 | 94,286 | 73,0752 | 26 | 16,96 | 9,39 | 63,69 | 1528,44 | 45.853,23 | 550.239 | 292.726.997,95 |
| 4 | 471FNIMO1 | 75 | 140 | 90 | 64,286 | 49,824 | 20 | 3,02 | 1,67 | 48,15 | 1155,63 | 34.668,96 | 416.028 | 221.326.658,15 |
| 5 | 471FN4MO1 | 110 | 195 | 123 | 63,077 | 68,0928 | 65 | 42,73 | 23,66 | 44,43 | 1066,44 | 31.993,14 | 383.918 | 204.244.206,94 |
| 6 | 471FN5MO1 | 110 | 195 | 123 | 63,077 | 68,0928 | 65 | 42,73 | 23,66 | 44,43 | 1066,44 | 31.993,14 | 383.918 | 204.244.206,94 |
| 7 | 471FN6MO1 | 110 | 195 | 100 | 51,282 | 55,36 | 65 | 34,74 | 19,23 | 36,13 | 867,02 | 26.010,68 | 312.128 | 166.052.200,76 |
| 8 | 471FN7MO1 | 110 | 195 | 85 | 43,590 | 47,056 | 75 | 30,36 | 16,81 | 30,25 | 725,97 | 21.778,96 | 261.348 | 139.036.910,50 |
| 9 | 471FNEMO1 | 110 | 195 | 140 | 71,795 | 77,504 | 33 | 24,05 | 13,31 | 64,19 | 1540,59 | 46.217,66 | 554.612 | 295.053.555,66 |
| 10 | 471FNHMO1 | 132 | 242 | 132 | 54,545 | 73,0752 | 41 | 27,21 | 15,06 | 58,01 | 1392,25 | 41.767,37 | 501.208 | 266.642.881,21 |
| 11 | 471FNKMO1 | 132 | 242 | 138 | 57,025 | 76,3968 | 43 | 29,46 | 16,31 | 60,09 | 1442,04 | 43.261,30 | 519.136 | 276.180.126,53 |
| 12 | 471FNGMO1 | 160 | 294 | 155 | 52,721 | 85,808 | 37 | 22,33 | 12,36 | 73,45 | 1762,71 | 52.881,19 | 634.574 | 337.593.541,61 |
| 13 | 471FNJMO1 | 160 | 294 | 182 | 61,905 | 100,7552 | 38 | 27,59 | 15,27 | 85,48 | 2051,54 | 61.546,21 | 738.555 | 392.911.011,72 |
| | | 1.394 | | | | | | | 191 | 640 | 15.361 | 460.843 | 5.530.120 | 2.942.023.799 |

METHOD of CALCULATION

Column F: % operating = (Amp. Operating / Amp. rating) X 100% = (Column E / Column D) X 100%

Column G: Power operating (kW) = (√3 x V x I x PF)/1000 = 1.73 x 400 x Column E x 0.8/1000

Column I: Current with Inverter = (100-% energy saving) x Operating Current = (100-% energy saving) x Column E

Column H: Data Open Damper

Column J: Power with Inverter (kW) = (√3 x V x I x PF)/1000 = (1.73 x 400 x Column I x 0.8)/1000

Column K: Power Saving (kW) = Power Operating – Power with Inverter = Column G – Column J

Column N: Yearly Energy Saving (kWH) = 24 x 25 x 12 x Power Saving = 24 x 25 x 12 x Column K

Column O: Saving Money (IDR) – Yearly = Yearly Energy Saving x IDR 532 = 532 x Column N





Some assumptions the rational measures are as follows:

- Energy Cost: Rp 532.05 per kWh
- Plant Operation: 300 days
- Energy Saving Factor (%): See Table. 3
(Assumption from the result of average calculation)
- Power consumption: 1394 kW, and 640 savings

Financial benefits

- Investment: Rp 1,250,000 or US\$ 136,000 (1US\$ = Rp 9,200)
- Annual cost savings:
 - Rp 2,942,023,799 or US\$ 360,000, calculated as shown in table 4.
 - Rp 2,451,456,000 or US\$ 266,463 if calculated as follows: 640 kW reduction X Rp 532.05/kWh X 24 h = Rp 8,172,288 X 300 operating days = Rp 2,451,456,000
- Payback period: 5 months

Environmental benefits

- Annual electricity savings: 5530 as shown in Table 4, or 4608 MWh if calculated (= 640 kW X 24 h X 300 days)
- Annual GHG emission reduction:
 - Based on data from Table 4: 5530 X 0.724* = 4004 tCO₂
 - Calculated: 4,608 MWh X 0.724* = 3,336 tCO₂

*sourced from UNEP GHG calculator: www.unep.org/energy/tools/ghgin/

FOR MORE INFORMATION

GERIAP National Focal Point for Indonesia

Dr. Ir. Tusy A. Adibroto Msc; or Ms. Widiatmini Sih Winanti
BPPT - Jl. MH Thamrin 8, BPPT II building 20th floor
Jakarta Indonesia
Tel: +62 (21) 316 9758/68; Fax: +62 (21) 316 9760;
E-mail: tusyaa@ceo.bppt.go.id
widiatmini@yahoo.com

GERIAP Company in Indonesia

Team Leader: Gunawan Purwadi
General Manager
PT. Indocement Tunggak Prakasa.Tbk
Tel: head office +62 21 2512121; plants +62 23 8752812; +62 231 343760; +62 518 61000
Fax: head office +62 21 5701693; Plants +62 21 8752956;
+62 231 343617; +62 518 61090
E-mail: Gunawan@indocement.co.id



Disclaimer:

This case study was prepared as part of the project “ Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction from Industry in Asia and the Pacific” (GERIAP). While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this publication are factually correct, UNEP does not accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the contents, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the contents of this publication. © UNEP, 2006.